arXiv:1402.2041v1 [math.AC] 10 Feb 2014

ON THE BINOMIAL EDGE IDEALS OF BLOCK GRAPHS

FARYAL CHAUDHRY, AHMET DOKUYUCU, RIDA IRFAN

ABSTRACT. We find a class of block graphs whose binomial edge ideals have minimal regularity. As a consequence, we characterize the trees whose binomial edge ideals have minimal regularity. Also, we show that the binomial edge ideal of a block graph has the same depth as its initial ideal.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study homological properties of some classes of binomial edge ideals.

Let G be a simple graph on the vertex set $[n]$ and let $S = K[x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_n]$ be the polynomial ring in $2n$ variables over a field K. For $1 \le i < j \le n$, we set $f_{ij} = x_iy_j - x_jy_i$. The binomial edge ideal of G is defined as $J_G = (f_{ij} : \{i,j\} \in E(G))$. Binomial edge ideals were introduced in [8] and [12]. Algebraic and homological properties of binomial edge ideals have been studied in several papers. In [5], it was conjectured that J_G and $\mathrm{in}_<(J_G)$ have the same extremal Betti numbers. Here $<$ denotes the lexicographic order in S induced by $x_1 > x_2 > \cdots > x_n > y_1 > y_2 > \cdots > y_n$. This conjecture was proved in [3] for cycles and complete bipartite graphs. In [6], it was shown that, for a closed graph G, J_G and $\mathrm{in}_<(J_G)$ have the same regularity which can be expressed in the combinatorial data of the graph. We recall that a graph G is closed if and only if it has a quadratic Gröbner basis with respect to the lexicographic order.

In support of the conjecture given in [5], we show, in Section 3, that if G is a block graph, then $\mathrm{depth}(S/J_G) = \mathrm{depth}(S/\mathrm{in}_<(J_G))$; see Theorem 3.2. By a block graph we mean a chordal graph G with the property that any two maximal cliques of G intersect in at most one vertex.

Also, in the same section, we show a similar equality for regularity. More precisely, in Theorem 3.3 we show that $\mathrm{reg}(S/J_G) = \mathrm{reg}(S/\mathrm{in}_<(J_G)) = \ell$ if G a C_ℓ-graph. C_ℓ-graphs constitute a subclass of the block graphs; see Section 3 for definition and Figure 1 for an example.

In [11] it was shown that, for any connected graph G on the vertex set $[n]$, we have

$$\ell \le \mathrm{reg}(S/J_G) \le n-1,$$

where ℓ is the length of the longest induced path of G.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D02, 05E40.

Key words and phrases. Binomial edge ideals, regularity, depth.

The first and the third author were supported by the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan and the Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan.

The main motivation of our work was to answer the following question. May we characterize the connected graphs G whose longest induced path has length ℓ and $\operatorname{reg}(S/J_G) = \ell$? We succeeded to answer this question for trees. In Theorem 4.1, we show that if T is a tree whose longest induced path has length ℓ, then $\operatorname{reg}(S/J_T) = \ell$ if and only if T is caterpillar. A caterpillar tree is a tree T with the property that it contains a path P such that any vertex of T is either a vertex of P or it is adjacent to a vertex of P.

In [12], the so-called weakly closed graphs were introduced. This is a class of graphs which includes closed graphs. In the same paper, it was shown that a tree is caterpillar if and only if it is a weakly closed graph. Having in mind our Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 3.2 in [6] which states that $\operatorname{reg}(S/J_G) = \ell$ if G is a connected closed graph whose longest induced path has length ℓ, and by some computer experiments, we are tempted to formulate the following.

Conjecture 1.1. *If G is a connected weakly closed graph whose longest induced path has length ℓ, then* $\operatorname{reg}(S/J_G) = \ell$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we introduce the notation used in this paper and summarize a few results on binomial edge ideals.

Let G be a simple graph on the vertex set $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$, that is, G has no loops and no multiple edges. Furthermore, let K be a field and $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ be the polynomial ring in $2n$ variables. For $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, we set $f_{ij} = x_i y_j - x_j y_i$. The *binomial edge ideal* $J_G \subset S$ associated with G is generated by all the quadratic binomials $f_{ij} = x_i y_j - x_j y_i$ such that $\{i, j\} \in E(G)$. Binomial edge ideals were introduced in the papers [8] and [12].

We first recall some basic definitions from graph theory. A vertex i of G whose deletion from the graph gives a graph with more connected components than G is called a *cut point* of G. A *chordal* graph is a graph without cycles of length greater than or equal to 4. A *clique* of a graph G is a complete subgraph of G. The cliques of a graph G form a simplicial complex, $\Delta(G)$, which is called the *clique complex* of G. Its facets are the maximal cliques of G. A graph G is a *block graph* if and only if it is chordal and every two maximal cliques have at most one vertex in common. This class was considered in [5, Theorem 1.1].

The clique complex $\Delta(G)$ of a chordal graph G has the property that there exists a *leaf order* on its facets. This means that the facets of $\Delta(G)$ may be ordered as $F_1, \ldots, F_r$ such that, for every $i > 1$, F_i is a leaf of the simplicial complex generated by $F_1, \ldots, F_i$. A *leaf* F of a simplicial complex Δ is a facet of Δ with the property that there exists another facet of Δ, say G, such that, for every facet $H \neq F$ of Δ, $H \cap F \subseteq G \cap F$.

2.1. Gröbner bases of binomial edge ideals.

Let $<$ be the lexicographic order on S induced by the natural order of the variables. As it was shown in [8], the Gröbner basis of J_G with respect to this order may be given in terms of the admissible paths of G.

Definition 2.1. [8] Let $i < j$ be two vertices of G. A path $i = i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_{r-1}, i_r = j$ from i to j is called *admissible* if the following conditions are fulfilled:

(1) $i_k \neq i_l$ for $k \neq l$;
(2) for each $k = 1, \ldots, r-1$ on has either $i_k < i$ or $i_k > j$;
(3) for any proper subset $\{j_1, \ldots, j_s\}$ of $\{i_1, \ldots, i_{r-1}\}$, the sequence $i, j_1, \ldots, j_s, j$ is not a path in G.

Given an admissible path π in G from i to j, we set $u_\pi = (\prod_{i_k > j} x_{i_k})(\prod_{i_l < i} y_{i_l})$.

Theorem 2.2. [8] *The set of binomials*

$$\Gamma = \bigcup_{i<j} \{u_\pi f_{ij} \,:\, \pi \text{ is an admissible path from } i \text{ to } j\}$$

is the reduced Gröbner basis of J_G with respect to the lexicographic order on S induced by $x_1 > \cdots > x_n > y_1 > \cdots > y_n$.

2.2. **Primary decomposition of binomial edge ideals.** Theorem 2.2 shows, in particular, that $\mathrm{in}_<(J_G)$ is a radical monomial ideal which implies that the binomial edge ideal J_G is radical as well. Hence J_G is equal to the intersection of all its minimal primes. These minimal prime ideals were determined in [8].

Let $\mathscr{S} \subset [n]$ be a (possible empty) subset of $[n]$ and let $G_1, \ldots, G_{c(\mathscr{S})}$ be the connected components of $G_{[n]\setminus\mathscr{S}}$, where $G_{[n]\setminus\mathscr{S}}$ denotes the restriction of G to the vertex set $[n]\setminus\mathscr{S}$. For $1 \leq i \leq c(\mathscr{S})$, let $\widetilde{G}_i$ be the complete graph on the vertex set of G_i. Let

$$P_\mathscr{S}(G) = (\bigcup_{i\in\mathscr{S}} \{x_i, y_i\}, J_{\tilde{G}_1}, \ldots, J_{\tilde{G}_{c(\mathscr{S})}}).$$

Theorem 2.3. [8]

$$J_G = \bigcap_{\mathscr{S}\subset[n]} P_\mathscr{S}(G)$$

In particular, the minimal primes of J_G are among $P_\mathscr{S}(G)$, where $\mathscr{S} \subset [n]$.

Theorem 2.4. [8] *Let G be a connected graph on the vertex set $[n]$, and $\mathscr{S} \subset [n]$. Then $P_\mathscr{S}(G)$ is a minimal prime ideal of J_G if and only if $\mathscr{S} = \emptyset$ or $\mathscr{S} \neq \emptyset$ and for each $i \in \mathscr{S}$ one has $c(\mathscr{S}\setminus\{i\}) < c(\mathscr{S})$.*

A set $\mathscr{S}$ which satisfies the condition in the above theorem is called a *cut-point* set of G.

3. Initial ideals of binomial edge ideals of block graphs

In this section, we first show that, for a block graph G on $[n]$ with c connected components, we have $\mathrm{depth}(S/J_G) = \mathrm{depth}(S/\mathrm{in}_<(J_G)) = n + c$, where $<$ denotes the lexicographic order induced by $x_1 > \cdots > x_n > y_1 > \cdots > y_n$ in the ring $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$.

We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a graph on the vertex set $[n]$ and let $i \in [n]$. Then*

$$\mathrm{in}_<(J_G, x_i, y_i) = (\mathrm{in}_<(J_G), x_i, y_i).$$

Proof. We have $\mathrm{in}_<(J_G, x_i, y_i) = \mathrm{in}_<(J_{G\setminus\{i\}}, x_i, y_i) = (\mathrm{in}_<(J_{G\setminus\{i\}}), x_i, y_i)$. Therefore, we have to show that $(\mathrm{in}_<(J_G), x_i, y_i) = (\mathrm{in}_<(J_{G\setminus\{i\}}), x_i, y_i)$. The inclusion $\supseteq$ is obvious since $J_{G\setminus\{i\}} \subset J_G$. For the other inclusion, let us take u to be a minimal generator of $\mathrm{in}_<(J_G)$. If $x_i \mid u$ or $y_i \mid u$, obviously $u \in (\mathrm{in}(J_{G\setminus\{i\}}), x_i, y_i)$. Let now $x_i \nmid u$ and $y_i \nmid u$. This means that $u = u_\pi x_k y_l$ for some admissible path π from k to l which does not contain the vertex i. Then it follows that π is a path from k to l in $G \setminus \{i\}$, hence $u \in \mathrm{in}_<(J_{G\setminus\{i\}})$. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a block graph. Then* $\operatorname{depth}(S/J_G) = \operatorname{depth}(S/\mathrm{in}_<(J_G)) = n + c$, *where c is the number of connected component of G.*

Proof. Let $G_1, \ldots, G_c$ be the connected components of G and $S_i = K[\{x_j, y_j\}_{j \in G_i}]$. Then $S/J_G \cong S_1/J_{G_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes S_c/J_{G_c}$, so that $\operatorname{depth} S/J_G = \operatorname{depth} S_1/J_{G_1} + \cdots + \operatorname{depth} S_c/J_{G_c}$. Moreover, we have $S/\mathrm{in}_<(J_G) \cong S/\mathrm{in}_<(J_{G_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes S/\mathrm{in}_<(J_{G_c})$, thus $\operatorname{depth} S/\mathrm{in}_<(J_G) \cong \operatorname{depth} S_1/\mathrm{in}_<(J_{G_1}) + \cdots + \operatorname{depth} S_c/\mathrm{in}_<(J_{G_c})$. Therefore, without loss of generality, we may assume that G is connected. By [5, Theorem 1.1] we know that $\operatorname{depth}(S/J_G) = n+1$. In order to show that $\operatorname{depth}(S/\mathrm{in}_<(J_G)) = n+1$, we proceed by induction on the number of maximal cliques of G. Let $\Delta(G)$ be the clique complex of G and let $F_1, \ldots, F_r$ be a leaf order on the facets of $\Delta(G)$. If $r = 1$, then G is a simplex and the statement is well known. Let $r > 1$; since F_r is a leaf, there exists a unique vertex, say $i \in F_r$, such that $F_r \cap F_j = \{i\}$ where F_j is a branch of F_r. Let $F_{t_1}, \ldots, F_{t_q}$ be the facets of $\Delta(G)$ which intersect the leaf F_r in the vertex $\{i\}$. Following the proof of [5, Theorem 1.1] we may write $J_G = J_1 \cap J_2$ where $J_1 = \bigcap_{i \notin \mathscr{S}} P_{\mathscr{S}}(G)$ and $J_2 = \bigcap_{i \in \mathscr{S}} P_{\mathscr{S}}(G)$. Then, as it was shown in the proof of [5, Theorem 1.1], it follows that $J_1 = J_{G'}$ where G' is obtained from G by replacing the cliques $F_{t_1}, \ldots, F_{t_q}$ and F_r by the clique on the vertex set $F_r \cup (\bigcup_{j=1}^q F_{t_j})$. Also, $J_2 = (x_i, y_i) + J_{G''}$ where G'' is the restriction of G to the vertex set $[n] \setminus \{i\}$.

We have $\mathrm{in}_<(J_G) = \mathrm{in}_<(J_1 \cap J_2)$. By [1, Lemma 1.3], we have $\mathrm{in}_<(J_1 \cap J_2) = \mathrm{in}_<(J_1) \cap \mathrm{in}_<(J_2)$ if and only if $\mathrm{in}_<(J_1 + J_2) = \mathrm{in}_<(J_1) + \mathrm{in}_<(J_2)$. But $\mathrm{in}_<(J_1 + J_2) = \mathrm{in}_<(J_{G'} + (x_i, y_i) + J_{G''}) = \mathrm{in}_<(J_{G'} + (x_i, y_i))$. Hence, by Lemma 3.1, we get $\mathrm{in}_<(J_1 + J_2) = \mathrm{in}_<(J_{G'}) + (x_i, y_i) = \mathrm{in}_<(J_1) + \mathrm{in}_<(J_2)$. Therefore, we get $\mathrm{in}_<(J_G) = \mathrm{in}_<(J_1) \cap \mathrm{in}_<(J_2)$ and, consequently, we have the following exact sequence of S-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{S}{\mathrm{in}_<(J_G)} \longrightarrow \frac{S}{\mathrm{in}_<(J_1)} \oplus \frac{S}{\mathrm{in}_<(J_2)} \longrightarrow \frac{S}{\mathrm{in}_<(J_1 + J_2)} \longrightarrow 0.$$

By using again Lemma 3.1, we have $\mathrm{in}_<(J_2) = \mathrm{in}_<((x_i, y_i), J_{G''}) = (x_i, y_i) + \mathrm{in}_<(J_{G''})$. Thus, we have actually the following exact sequence

$$(1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \frac{S}{\mathrm{in}_<(J_G)} \longrightarrow \frac{S}{\mathrm{in}_<(J_{G'})} \oplus \frac{S}{(x_i, y_i) + \mathrm{in}_<(J_{G''})} \longrightarrow \frac{S}{(x_i, y_i) + \mathrm{in}_<(J_{G'})} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since G' inherits the properties of G and has a smaller number of maximal cliques than G, it follows, by the inductive hypothesis, that $\operatorname{depth}(S/J_{G'}) = \operatorname{depth}(S/\mathrm{in}_<(J_{G'})) =$

$n+1$. Let S_i be the polynomial ring $S/(x_i,y_i)$. Then $S/((x_i,y_i)+\text{in}_<(J_{G''}))\cong S_i/\text{in}_<(J_{G''})$. Since G'' is a graph on $n-1$ vertices with $q+1$ connected components and satisfies our conditions, the inductive hypothesis implies that $\text{depth}\, S/((x_i,y_i)+\text{in}_<(J_{G''}))=n+q\geq n+1$. Hence,

$$\text{depth}(S/\text{in}_<(J_{G'})\oplus S/((x_i,y_i)+\text{in}_<(J_{G''})))=n+1.$$

Next, we observe that $S/((x_i,y_i)+\text{in}_<(J_{G'}))\cong S_i/\text{in}_<(J_H)$, where H is obtained from G' by replacing the clique on the vertex set $F_r\cup(\bigcup_{j=1}^q F_{t_j})$ by the clique on the vertex set $F_r\cup(\bigcup_{j=1}^q F_{t_j})\setminus\{i\}$. Hence, by the inductive hypothesis, $\text{depth}(S/((x_i,y_i)+\text{in}_<(J_{G'})))=n$ since H is connected and its vertex set has cardinality $n-1$. Hence, by applying the Depth lemma to our exact sequence (1), we get $\text{depth}\, S/J_G=\text{depth}\, S/\text{in}_<(J_G)=n+1$.

□

Let G be a connected graph on the vertex set $[n]$ which consists of

(i) a sequence of maximal cliques $F_1,\ldots,F_\ell$ with $\dim F_i\geq 1$ for all i such that $|F_i\cap F_{i+1}|=1$ for $1\leq i\leq \ell-1$ and $F_i\cap F_j=\emptyset$ for any $i<j$ such that $j\neq i+1$, together with

(ii) some additional edges of the form $F=\{j,k\}$ where j is an intersection point of two consecutive cliques F_i,F_{i+1} for some $1\leq i\leq \ell-1$, and k is a vertex of degree 1.

In other words, G is obtained from a graph H with $\Delta(H)=\langle F_1,\ldots,F_l\rangle$ whose binomial edge ideal is Cohen-Macaulay (see [5, Theorem 3.1]) by attaching edges in the intersection points of the facets of $\Delta(H)$. Therefore, G looks like the graph displayed in Figure 1.



FIGURE 1. C_ℓ-graph

Such a graph has, obviously, the property that its longest induced path has length equal to ℓ. If a connected graph G satisfies the above conditions (i) and (ii), we say that G is a $\mathscr{C}_\ell$-graph. In the case that $\dim F_i=1$ for $1\leq i\leq \ell$, then G is called a *caterpillar graph*.

We should also note that any $\mathscr{C}_\ell$–graph is chordal and has the property that any two distinct maximal cliques intersect in at most one vertex. So that any C_ℓ-graph is a connected block graph.

Theorem 3.3. *Let G be a $\mathscr{C}_\ell$-graph on the vertex set $[n]$. Then*

$$\operatorname{reg}(S/J_G) = \operatorname{reg}(S/\operatorname{in}_<(J_G)) = \ell.$$

Proof. Let G consists of the sequence of maximal cliques $F_1,\dots,F_\ell$ as in condition (i) to which we add some edges as in condition (ii). So the maximal cliques of G are $F_1,\dots,F_\ell$ and all the additional whiskers. We proceed by induction on the number r of maximal cliques of G. If $r=\ell$, then G is a closed graph whose binomial edge ideal is Cohen-Macaulay, hence the statement holds by [6, Theorem 3.2]. Let $r>\ell$ and let $F'_1,\dots,F'_r$ be a leaf order on the facets of $\Delta(G)$. Obviously, we may choose a leaf order on $\Delta(G)$ such that $F'_r = F_\ell$. With the same arguments and notation as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we get the sequence (1).

We now observe that G' is a $\mathscr{C}_{\ell-1}$-graph, hence, by the inductive hypothesis,

$$\operatorname{reg}\frac{S}{J_{G'}} = \operatorname{reg}\frac{S}{\operatorname{in}_<(J_{G'})} = \ell-1. \tag{2}$$

The graph G'' has at most two non-trivial connected components. One of them, say H_1, is a $\mathscr{C}_{\ell'}$-graph with $\ell' \in \{\ell-2,\ell-1\}$. The other possible non-trivial component, say H_2, occurs if $|F_\ell|\geq 3$ and, in this case, H_2 is a clique of dimension $|F_\ell|-2\geq 1$. By the inductive hypothesis, we obtain

$$\operatorname{reg}\frac{S}{J_{G''}} = \operatorname{reg}\frac{S}{\operatorname{in}_<(J_{G''})} = \operatorname{reg}\frac{S}{J_{H_1}} + \operatorname{reg}\frac{S}{J_{H_2}} \leq \ell-1+1=\ell. \tag{3}$$

Relations (2) and (3) yield $\operatorname{reg}(S/\operatorname{in}_<(J_{G'})\oplus S/((x_i,y_i)+\operatorname{in}_<(J_{G''})))\leq \ell$. From the exact sequence (1) we get

$$\operatorname{reg}\left(\frac{S}{\operatorname{in}_<(J_G)}\right) \leq \max\{\operatorname{reg}\left(\frac{S}{\operatorname{in}_<(J_{G'})}\oplus\frac{S}{(x_i,y_i)+\operatorname{in}_<(J_{G''})}\right), \operatorname{reg}\frac{S}{\operatorname{in}_<(J_{G'})}+1\}\leq \ell. \tag{4}$$

By [7, Theorem 3.3.4], we know that $\operatorname{reg}(S/J_G)\leq \operatorname{reg}(S/\operatorname{in}_<(J_G)$, and by [10, Theorem 1.1], we have $\operatorname{reg}(S/J_G)\geq \ell$. By using all these inequalities, we get the desired conclusion. □

4. Binomial edge ideals of caterpillar trees

Matsuda and Murai showed in [10] that, for any connected graph G on the vertex set $[n]$, we have $\ell \leq \operatorname{reg}(S/J_G)\leq n-1$, where ℓ denotes the length of the longest induced path of G, and conjectured that $\operatorname{reg}(S/J_G)=n-1$ if and only if T is a line graph. Several recent papers are concerned with this conjecture; see, for example, [6], [13], and [14]. One may ask as well to characterize connected graphs G whose longest induced path has length ℓ and $\operatorname{reg}(S/J_G)=\ell$. In this section, we answer this question for trees.

A caterpillar tree is a tree T with the property that it contains a path P such that any vertex of T is either a vertex of P or it is adjacent to a vertex of P. Clearly, any caterpillar tree is a $\mathscr{C}_\ell$-graph for some positive integer ℓ.



FIGURE 2. Caterpillar



FIGURE 3. Induced graph H

Caterpillar trees were first studied by Harary and Schwenk [9]. These graphs have applications in chemistry and physics [4]. In Figure 2, an example of caterpillar tree is displayed. Note that any caterpillar tree is a narrow graph in the sense of Cox and Erskine [2]. Conversely, one may easily see that any narrow tree is a caterpillar tree. Moreover, as it was observed in [11], a tree is a caterpillar graph if and only if it is weakly closed in the sense of definition given in [11].

In the next theorem we characterize the trees T with $\operatorname{reg}(S/J_T) = \ell$ where ℓ is the length of the longest induced path of T.

Theorem 4.1. *Let T be a tree on the vertex set $[n]$ whose longest induced path P has length ℓ. Then $\operatorname{reg}(S/J_T) = \ell$ if and only if T is caterpillar.*

Proof. Let T be a caterpillar tree whose longest induced path has length ℓ. Then, by the definition of a caterpillar tree, it follows that T is a $\mathscr{C}_\ell$-graph. Hence, $\operatorname{reg}(S/J_T) = \ell$ by Theorem 3.3. Conversely, let $\operatorname{reg}(S/J_T) = \ell$ and assume that T is not caterpillar. Then T contains an induced subgraph H with $\ell + 3$ vertices as in Figure 3.

Then, by [15, Theorem 27], it follows that $\operatorname{reg}(S/J_H) = \ell + 1$. Thus, since $\operatorname{reg}(S/J_H) \leq \operatorname{reg}(S/J_G)$ (see [10, Corollary 2.2]), it follows that $\operatorname{reg}(S/J_G) \geq \ell + 1$, contradiction to our hypothesis. □

REFERENCES

[1] A. Conca, *Gorenstein ladder determinantal rings*, J. London Math. Soc. **54**(3) (1996), 453–474.

[2] D. A. Cox and A. Erskine, *On closed graphs*, arXiv:1306.5149.

[3] A. Dokuyucu, *Extremal Betti numbers of some classes of binomial edge ideals*, arXiv:1310.2903.

[4] S. El-Basil, *Applications of caterpillar trees in chemistry and physics*, J. Math. Chem. **1**(2) (1987), 153–174.

[5] V. Ene, J. Herzog, T. Hibi, *Cohen-Macaulay binomial edge ideals*, Nagoya Math. J. **204** (2011), 57–68.

[6] V. Ene and A. Zarojanu, *On the regularity of binomial edge ideals*, to appear in Math. Nachr.

[7] J. Herzog and T. Hibi, *Monomial Ideals*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **260**, Springer, 2010.

[8] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, F. Hreinsdotir, T. Kahle, J. Rauh, *Binomial edge ideals and conditional independence statements*, Adv. Appl. Math. **45** (2010), 317–333.

[9] F. Harary and A. J. Schwenk, *The number of caterpillars*, Discrete Math. **6**(4), (1973), 359–365.

[10] K. Matsuda and S. Murai, *Regularity bounds for binomial edge ideals*, J. Commut. Algebra **5** (2013), 141–149.

[11] K. Matsuda, *Weakly closed graphs and F-purity of binomial edge ideals*, arXiv: 1209.4300.

[12] M. Ohtani, *Graphs and Ideals generated by some* 2*-minors*, Commun. Algebra **39** (2011), no. 3, 905–917.

[13] S. Saeedi Madani and D. Kiani, *Binomial edge ideals of graphs*, Electron. J. Combin, **19** (2012), no. 2, # P44.

[14] S. Saeedi Madani and D. Kiani, *The regularity of binomial edge ideals of graphs*, arXiv: 1310.6126.

[15] S. Zafar and Z. Zahid, *On the Betti numbers of some classes of binomial edge ideals*, Electron. J. Combin. **20** (2013) no. 4, # P37.

ABDUS SALAM SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, GC UNIVERSITY, LAHORE. 68-B, NEW MUSLIM TOWN, LAHORE 54600, PAKISTAN

E-mail address: chaudhryfaryal@gmail.com

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, OVIDIUS UNIVERSITY BD. MAMAIA 124, 900527 CONSTANTA, AND LUMINA-THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH-EAST EUROPE SOS. COLENTINA NR. 64B, BUCHAREST, ROMANIA

E-mail address: ahmet.dokuyucu@lumina.org

ABDUS SALAM SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, GC UNIVERSITY, LAHORE. 68-B, NEW MUSLIM TOWN, LAHORE 54600, PAKISTAN

E-mail address: ridairfan_88@yahoo.com